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Children’s Hospital Association Consensus Statements for Comorbidities of Childhood Obesity
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Abstract

Background: Childhood obesity and overweight affect approximately 30% of US children. Many of these children have obesity-related comorbidities, such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, fatty liver disease, diabetes, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), sleep apnea, psychosocial problems, and others. These children need routine screening and, in many cases, treatment for these conditions. However, because primary care pediatric providers (PCPs) often are underequipped to deal with these comorbidities, they frequently refer these patients to subspecialists. However, as a result of the US pediatric subspecialist shortage and considering that 12.5 million children are obese, access to care by subspecialists is limited. The aim of this article is to provide accessible, user-friendly clinical consensus statements to facilitate the screening, interpretation of results, and early treatment for some of the most common childhood obesity comorbidities.

Methods: Members of the Children’s Hospital Association (formerly NACHRI) FOCUS on a Fitter Future II (FFFII), a collaboration of 25 US pediatric obesity centers, used a combination of the best available evidence and collective clinical experience to develop consensus statements for pediatric obesity-related comorbidities. FFFII also surveyed the participating pediatric obesity centers regarding their current practices.

Results: The work group developed consensus statements for use in the evaluation and treatment of lipids, liver enzymes, and blood pressure abnormalities and PCOS in the child with overweight and obesity. The results of the FFFII survey illustrated the variability in the approach for initial evaluation and treatment as well as pattern of referrals to subspecialists among programs.

Conclusions: The consensus statements presented in this article can be a useful tool for PCPs in the management and overall care of children with overweight and obesity.

Introduction

Childhood overweight and obesity affect approximately one third of US children.1 Many of these children have one or more obesity-related comorbidities, such as abnormal blood pressure, dyslipidemia, fatty liver disease, prediabetes, diabetes, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), obstructive sleep apnea, psychosocial problems, and others. Children who are overweight or obese need to be routinely screened and, in many cases, treated for these obesity-associated conditions. Unfortunately, access to care for these comorbidities is
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inadequate because primary care pediatric providers (PCPs) often are underequipped to deal with these complications. Therefore, these children are frequently referred to multiple pediatric subspecialists to address their comorbidities.\textsuperscript{2–5} Furthermore, many regions across the United States are experiencing significant shortages of pediatric subspecialists, resulting in limited access to timely evaluation and management by subspecialists.\textsuperscript{5–7} Considering that 12.5 million US children are obese,\textsuperscript{6} new methods must be developed to evaluate and manage the care of such a large number of patients.

In 2009, the National Association of Children’s Hospitals and Related Institutions (now known as the Children’s Hospital Association) FOCUS on a Fitter Future II (FFFII) assembled leaders from 25 leading pediatric obesity centers from across the United States. From this collaboration, an expert treatment committee work group was formed that proceeded to meet every 4 months for the following 3 years. As leaders of tertiary care childhood obesity clinics and centers, the authors are frequently asked by primary care colleagues what evaluation should be done for children with childhood obesity and, beyond that, what then should be done with the results. Various sources recommend initial laboratory evaluations for all children, but few recommendations address how to manage and treat the results of abnormal screening tests for obesity-related comorbidities in the pediatric population. Furthermore, current screening recommendations\textsuperscript{9,10} are primarily for children without overweight or obesity and no literature covers how to specifically evaluate children with obesity who are at greater risk for many medical conditions, compared to their healthy weight peers. No consensus statements or guidelines exist to provide a framework for PCPs regarding what to do with abnormal laboratory results in children who already are obese.

Given the lack of tools for the PCP that are easily accessible and user friendly and the shortage of subspecialty care, the FFFII expert work group embarked on the development of the clinical consensus statements presented in this article. The clinical statements include a summary of the screening, evaluation and initial treatment for obesity-related abnormalities of lipids, blood pressure, liver enzymes, and PCOS. Consensus statements for other conditions (e.g., sleep apnea) are also needed; however, they are not presented in this article. The presented consensus statements were based on the best available evidence at the time of writing, including recommended guidelines from national associations\textsuperscript{9–17} and advice from subspecialists from the participating FFFII centers as well as nationally recognized experts in the pertinent fields. The use of these consensus statements can improve the care provided by PCPs to children with overweight and obesity by facilitating appropriate evaluation, treatment, and potential thresholds for referral to subspecialty care. Earlier identification of comorbidities and resultant prompt treatment will decrease future health complications, lead to healthcare cost savings, and increase quality of life for these children.

**Methods**

FFFII surveyed the participating pediatric obesity centers regarding their current evidence-informed approaches regarding the initial evaluation and treatment of obesity and its comorbidities. The survey was designed by the treatment committee work group of FFFII and completed by the leader of each participating obesity center. In centers with some clinical variation between different providers, the center’s leader who completed the survey was asked to include only those tests obtained by the majority of their providers. The results of this survey illustrated the variability in the approach used for initial evaluation and pattern of referrals to subspecialists among the participating obesity centers and prompted the work group to develop user-friendly consensus statements for use in the evaluation and treatment of children with overweight and obesity.

These consensus statements were developed through:

- Committee discussions among the pediatric obesity experts from 25 pediatric obesity centers. All of these centers met the criteria for stage III weight management programs (comprehensive multidisciplinary teams, including a dietician, physician, behaviorist, and exercise physiologist or physical therapist), as described by Barlow and colleagues.\textsuperscript{11}
- A targeted review of the literature and review of the existing guidelines from national associations\textsuperscript{9–17}
- Consultation with subspecialists in nephrology, hepatology, cardiology, endocrinology, pulmonology, gastroenterology, and gynecology for review of the consensus statements and questions pertinent to their specific areas of expertise. Nationally recognized experts were also approached by members of the FFFII, as needed.

Each section of this article concludes with a flow diagram developed by this group that summarizes their consensus recommendations based on best available literature and practice experience.

Extracts from the results of the survey administered to the 25 pediatric obesity centers participating in FFFII are shared in Figure 1 and throughout the article. These data are presented to illustrate common practice and practice variability, even among expert pediatric obesity centers, but not as recommended best practice guidelines.

No institutional review board approval was required for this study.

**Results**

**Initial Assessment of the Child with Overweight or Obesity**

Multidisciplinary weight management programs evaluate patients’ baseline metabolic measures and body composition for three primary purposes: (1) to evaluate possible underlying diagnoses that may have contributed to the development of obesity; (2) to identify physiological sequelae of obesity; and (3) to provide data for monitoring progress and response to treatment. Ultimately, this
information helps providers adjust treatment plans and also serves to enhance the motivation of patients and families in view of present or impending serious health issues.

The 2007 American Medical Association (AMA) Expert Committee recommendations on the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity include recommended laboratory testing that should be performed when evaluating children with obesity (Fig. 1a).11 Almost all members of FFFII obtain these recommended tests along with additional select tests obtained to monitor patients' progress (Fig. 1).

The results of the FFFII survey demonstrated significant practice variability in the initial evaluation across the participating pediatric weight management centers. Figure 1b describes the screening tests obtained by ≥80% of the FFFII hospitals. Interestingly, despite the lack of clear evidence for utility and that obtaining insulin is not supported by international recommendations,18 many programs continue to order fasting insulin levels. The reason for obtaining insulin was not collected in the survey, but anecdotally, FFFII members reported monitoring intervention outcomes as part of a research protocol or motivating behavior change in families as reasons for obtaining the test.

Other specific tests were ordered in response to history or physical exam findings. Evaluations for PCOS or sleep apnea, for example, were performed when relevant signs and symptoms were noted (detailed PCOS evaluation is described later in the article).

As a result of the high-risk population observed in such referral centers and the need to monitor progress during intensive treatment, most (50–80%) of the FFFII participating centers also obtained additional tests recommended and supported by varying degrees of available evidence (Fig. 1c). Screening for vitamin D deficiency is an example of this variability and lack of consensus. Fifty-six percent of centers routinely obtained vitamin D levels, whereas another 9% obtained it only for high-risk and/or minority patients. All hospitals followed the American Dietetic Association (now the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics) recommendations for supplementation, though the exact treatment protocols also varied by program.19 Percentage body fat was obtained by a majority of sites as a means of monitoring progress, although participants acknowledged...
that this is not a recommended practice for the general practitioner. While acknowledging the inaccuracies often noted with bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), most centers measured percentage body fat using BIA. Seventy-five percent of FFFII pediatric obesity centers reported obtaining hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c). Although some debate remains about the accuracy of HbA1c as a diabetes screening test in the pediatric population, HbA1c was adopted by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) as an accepted tool to screen for glucose abnormalities in adults in 2010. Only 4% of centers obtain oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) on all children referred to their centers (Fig. 1d), but many centers considered OGTTs in children with severe obesity or obesity with several risk factors for diabetes. Details on the OGTT protocol, such as number of glucose or insulin measurements performed, were not collected in the survey. The recommended methodology for OGTTs was published in 1998 by the World Health Organization publication, which is also the methodology currently supported by the ADA.

Lipid Abnormalities

The Expert Committee recommendations for pediatric obesity recommend including a fasting lipid panel for assessment of youth with BMI ≥85th percentile, recognizing that disordered lipid metabolism is one of the most common early indicators of cardiovascular (CV) risk (CVR) in children with overweight and obesity. These guidelines focus on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-c) and total cholesterol only. However, as many as 42.9% of obese children will have combined dyslipidemia, which manifests as elevated triglycerides and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) with or without accompanying elevation of LDL-c. Insulin resistance (IR) contributes to this combined pattern, in which an increased burden of small LDL particles may be masked by an unremarkable LDL-c level.

Figure 2. Lipid management in children and adolescents with overweight or obesity (for nonobese children, refer to the American Academy of Pediatrics guidelines). *Non-HDL-c = total cholesterol – HDL-c. †Risk factors described in Table 1. ‡Children with LDL-c ≥130 PLUS 0–1 risk factors fall between moderate and high risk. LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Color image is available online at www.liebertpub.com/chi
Selective lipid screening with a fasting lipid profile for children over 2 years of age has been recommended since 2008 for children with BMI ≥95th percentile.\textsuperscript{10,26} BMI ≥95th and 97th percentiles constitute moderate and high-level risk factors that guide management in the 2011 Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents.\textsuperscript{10} These guidelines also introduce non-HDL-cholesterol (calculated by subtracting HDL-c from total cholesterol) as an acceptable nonfasting screen for disordered lipid metabolism that is followed, if abnormal, by a fasting sample to delineate the specific nature of the dyslipidemia. All subsequent follow-up labs for abnormal lipids should be fasting.

The management consensus statement presented in this article is consistent with the most current CVR management guidelines, with an emphasis on recognition and management of the combined dyslipidemia associated with obesity.\textsuperscript{10} In this consensus statement, obese/overweight children are classified according to age and by risk based on their lipid profile (Fig. 2\textsuperscript{13}). It is essential to note that

![Weight Management Counseling](Image)

* LDL-c management in children and adolescents with overweight or obesity with “high-risk” lipid profile (see Fig. 2). \*Non-HDL-c = total cholesterol – HDL-c. \*Risk factors (see Table 1). \*Statin therapy (see Table 2). LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Color image is available online at www.liebertpub.com/chi
weight management is the foundation of treatment for dyslipidemia for children with overweight/obesity of all ages. Moderate and high-risk thresholds, despite optimized lifestyle management that may justify adjunct nutraceutical and pharmacological management, are detailed in Figures 3 and 4 and Tables 1, 3 and 2. The LDL-c elevation that accompanies obesity rarely exceeds 160 mg/dL, above which primary genetic lipid disorders are more common. Obesity is so prevalent, however, that a primary hypercholesterolemia certainly can overlap with, and be exacerbated by, excessive weight. If LDL-c exceeds 250 mg/dL, the likelihood of concomitant familial hypercholesterolemia warrants

![Figure 4. Triglyceride treatment (children ≥ 8 years) based on fasting triglyceride levels. *Before treatment with Lovaza: rule out alcohol use by patient or family history of bleeding disorders; discontinue aspirin-containing products; and note that comparably concentrated preparations are increasingly available without prescription. LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Color image is available online at www.liebertpub.com/chi]
Abnormal Liver Enzymes

As the prevalence of childhood obesity continues to increase, so has the incidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). Several studies have confirmed that male gender and Asian and Mexican ethnicity are risk factors for NAFLD, whereas African Americans seem to be protected. NAFLD includes a range of disease severity from simple steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, which may progress to cirrhosis. Clinically, most NAFLD is silent, although some patients may present with abdominal pain. Metabolic syndrome is strongly correlated with development and severity of NAFLD, and NAFLD is also a risk factor independent of obesity in the development of CV disease (CVD). Chronic overnutrition that results in obesity creates an inflammatory cycle that promotes IR and hepatic lipid deposition. Components of the current American diet most responsible for the development of NAFLD are saturated fats, particularly transfats, fructose, and animal protein sources, and specifically branched-chain amino acids, and alcohol.

consultation with a lipid specialist. Full CVR management guidelines are found in the Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents, 2011.

### Table 1. Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-level risk factors and conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Parent/grandparent/aunt/uncle with history of premature cardiovascular disease (age at diagnosis &lt;55 years in males and &lt;65 years in females), coronary atherosclerosis, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, or early deaths before 50 years of age of unknown cause in relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BMI ≥ 97th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diabetes mellitus, type 1 or 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypertension (blood pressure ≥ 99th percentile + 5 mm Hg, requiring therapy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Current smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chronic renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of solid organ kidney or heart transplant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of Kawasaki disease with current coronary aneurysms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moderate-level risk factors and conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• BMI 95th–97th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hypertension not requiring therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HDL-c &lt; 40 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chronic inflammatory disease (lupus or juvenile rheumatoid arthritis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HIV infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nephrotic syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of Kawasaki disease with regressed coronary aneurysms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consider as potential risk factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Prediabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• History of cancer or congenital heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Passive smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Unknown family history</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

### Table 2. Statin Therapy

| • Document normal hepatic panel before initiating therapy. |
| • If a patient meeting eligibility for statins is a young woman of reproductive age, it is essential to counsel to avoid pregnancy. Statins should be withheld during pregnancy because of the potential for teratogenicity for a developing fetus. |
| • Dosing: |
| – Start at lowest dose, usually at bedtime. |
| – If target LDL-c levels are not achieved, double the dose and repeat lipid profile, creatine kinase (CK), and liver enzymes at 4 weeks. Continue stepped titration up to the maximum recommended dose until the target LDL-c levels are achieved (<130, optimally <110 mg/dL) or there is evidence of toxicity. Repeat blood work at 4 weeks, 3 months, and then every 3–4 months for first year and every 6 months thereafter. |
| – Suggested titration: |
| • Pravastatin (Pravachol) 10 → 20 mg |
| • Rosuvastatin (Crestor) 5 → 10 → 20 mg |
| • Atorvastatin (Lipitor) 10 → 20 mg |
| – Note: Simvastatin (Zocor) is the least expensive, but most lipophilic, and therefore passes readily across the blood–brain barrier (BBB) and suppresses brain cholesterol synthesis. Plasma cholesterol does not cross the BBB. Therefore, to avoid interference with cholesterol needs for adolescent brain development, more hydrophilic statins are favored. |
| – If LDL-c remains >130 mg/dL on the maximum statin dose, can add a bile acid sequestrant (Cholestyramine or Colestipol, 8 g per day). Do not go higher in statin dose without consulting a lipid specialist. |
| • Side effects: |
| – Instruct patient to immediately report side effects suggestive of myopathy. If myopathy is present, the medication should be stopped, and CK level and relation of symptoms to physical activity should be assessed in 4–6 weeks. The patient should be monitored for resolution of the myopathy and any associated increases in CK. Consideration can be given to either an alternate statin or restarting the same statin medication at half the previous dose once symptoms and laboratory abnormalities have resolved. |
| – Advise female patients about contraindication during pregnancy and contraception if warranted. Advise about drug interactions (cyclosporine, fibrin acid derivatives, niacin, erythromycin, azole antifungals, nefazodone, and HIV protease inhibitors). |

LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.
An Expert Committee (AMA, Health Resources and Services Administration, and CDC) in 2007\(^{11}\) recommended assessment of liver enzymes in those children 10 years of age with BMI ≥ 85th–94th percentile with risk factors and in children 10 years of age with BMI ≥ 95th percentile. Though alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels are to be tested every 2 years and coincide with diabetes screening recommendations, they have low sensitivity and specificity for NAFLD. Normal levels occur in patients found to have NAFLD on liver biopsy and elevated levels are found in individuals with normal liver histology. The majority of the surveyed FFFII programs checked liver enzymes at baseline starting at age 2 years. NAFLD can be strongly suspected based on clinical parameters, liver enzymes (AST and ALT), and ultrasound (US), but staging and grading currently require biopsy. With worsening liver enzyme values, and before biopsy, other forms of liver disease should be ruled out. Current treatment for NAFLD is healthy diet and exercise. Recommendations to limit or eliminate transfats, fructose, and alcohol exposure and decrease animal protein intake are also suggested.\(^{35}\)

The consensus statement presented for assessment of NAFLD (Fig. 5) starts with the recommendation to measure ALT in all children with obesity. The exact cut points for referral and further evaluation are controversial because insufficient evidence exists to guide decisions.\(^{36}\) This consensus statement leans conservatively to assure that virtually all those children with detectable NAFLD are followed closely and referred early while also balancing access to gastrointestinal specialists. Further, upper limits of normal (ULNs) vary in laboratories, and at times, these ULNs are very high. For these reasons, the authors chose to use a number to guide decisions in the consensus statement while acknowledging that much of the evidence uses 2 times the ULN for the typical cut point.\(^{11}\) Further evaluation to assess the presence of other conditions, such as viral hepatitis and autoimmune or metabolic abnormalities causing liver enzyme elevation, is recommended (suggested tests are listed in Fig. 5).

In addition to the steps described in the consensus statement (Fig. 5), the authors recommend talking with the receiving subspecialist about preferences and additional potential laboratory work that they might desire before the referral.

**Hypertension**

Normal blood pressure (BP) is defined as systolic and diastolic BP (SBP/DBP) at less than the 90th percentile for sex, age, and height,\(^9\) hypertension (HTN) as average SBP or DBP ≥95th percentile on at least three separate occasions, and pre-HTN is an SBP or DBP between the 90th and <95th percentile or BP exceeding 120/80 mm Hg in adolescents.\(^9\) Obesity has been identified as a primary risk factor for pre-HTN and HTN in childhood.\(^9\) As many as 13% of youth with obesity have elevated SBP and 9% have elevated DBP.\(^{37}\) The presence of obesity increases the likelihood that a hypertensive child will become a hypertensive adult, thus compounding the risk for CVD these children already face. Therefore, early identification and timely treatment of HTN in youth with obesity is of paramount importance.

To develop the HTN consensus statement, the FFFII members primarily used the Fourth Report on the Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents, which reviewed the best available evidence for BP measurement and management through 2003,\(^9\) and the Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents: Summary Report, which reviewed this evidence through mid-2008\(^{13}\) and stratified recommendations by age. The resulting consensus statement is shown in Figure 6. Cuff size and placement are critical to the accurate measurement of BP, and cuff placement can be more difficult in children and adolescents with obesity because larger cuff sizes are often required. In this population, weight loss/management and dietary intervention will play a role in all pathways of the HTN consensus statement with additional assessment, treatment, and consultation depending on the diagnosis of HTN and its severity.

**Polycystic Ovary Syndrome**

PCOS is often described as the most common endocrinopathy in women of childbearing age, with reported prevalence rates ranging from 5% to 10%.\(^{38–41}\) In 1990, the National Institutes of Health consensus conference defined PCOS as the combination of chronic anovulation or oligomenorrhea and clinical or biochemical signs of hyperandrogenism.\(^{42}\) Since then, two consensus groups have released revised criteria that have incorporated polycystic ovaries into the diagnostic criteria for PCOS.\(^{14,43}\) With the most recent criteria proposed by the Androgen Excess Society, nine different PCOS phenotypes can currently be identified, characterized by hyperandrogenism (either clinical with hirsutism and/or biochemical hyperandrogenemia) and ovarian dysfunction (either oligoovulation and/or polycystic ovaries).\(^{44}\) In addition, the diagnosis of PCOS must exclude other disorders of adrenal excess, such as nonclassic or late-onset congenital adrenal hyperplasia, or disorders affecting menstrual function, such as hyperprolactinemia.\(^{14}\)

For adolescents, existing criteria for PCOS pose some problems for diagnosis and management because some of the symptoms or signs may be difficult to evaluate in this age group.\(^{44,45}\) For instance, in the first 2 years following menarche, oligomenorrhea is not uncommon because menstrual cycles are frequently anovular.\(^{45}\) Therefore, both adult PCOS guidelines\(^{14–16,46}\) and recommendations from the Expert Committee on the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity\(^{11}\) were used to inform the proposed management consensus statements presented here for adolescent girls with obesity (Figs. 7 and 8). In screening for PCOS, a primary goal is to exclude and appropriately manage other medical conditions that may cause irregular menstrual cycles and/or androgen excess, such as hyperprolactinemia, thyroid dysfunction, or nonclassic or late-onset congenital adrenal hyperplasia. When performing laboratory tests, it is recommended to use...
a laboratory that will perform ultrasensitive pediatric assays with pediatric reference ranges. Pelvic US is typically only needed when either ovarian dysfunction (i.e., irregular menses) or clinical hyperandrogenism (e.g., hirsutism) presents alone with normal laboratory tests. In such cases, a diagnosis of PCOS can still be made if polycystic ovaries are present on US.

Pharmacological management of irregular menses in adolescent girls with PCOS is guided by the status of IR and glucose tolerance. However, all obese adolescent girls with PCOS will reduce their risk of CV complications through intensive lifestyle modification and weight management as a complement to pharmacological therapy. Girls with normal glucose and normal insulin levels can be
treated initially with hormonal contraceptive pills. Estrogen suppresses luteinizing hormone (LH), and thus ovarian androgen production, while also increasing sex hormone-binding globulin production in the liver, and thus reducing free testosterone. Some progestins, such as norgestrel and levonorgestrel, may have higher androgenic activity and should be avoided. In counseling families about hormone treatment options, particularly in the nonsexually active female, it may be important to refer to the medication as “hormonal therapy” marketed as oral contraceptives. This
approach may decrease resistance to the treatment among families, who may otherwise have concerns about placing an adolescent on oral contraceptives. Adolescent girls with overt IR (e.g., elevated insulin levels) and/or impaired glucose homeostasis can be managed initially with metformin. Patients have a high likelihood of ovulatory cycles while taking metformin, and girls who are sexually active need to be appropriately counseled. Data on reproductive outcomes in adolescents are limited; however, modest weight loss of 5–10% of total body weight has also been shown to restore ovulatory menstrual periods in obese adult women with PCOS. Typically, treatment is continued for a minimum of 1 year followed by re-evaluation for spontaneous menses.

Hirsutism is a common complication of PCOS. For adolescents with significant hirsutism, nonpharmacological treatments may include shaving, waxing, and use of chemical depilatories and/or bleaching cream. Spironolactone, 50–100 mg twice-daily, is an effective primary therapy for hirsutism. However, because of its teratogenicity, adolescent patients using this medication should also be placed on hormonal contraceptive therapy. Newer agents, such as eflornithine, are also available and dermatology consultation may also be considered.

Discussion

Because of the absence of guidelines for management of pediatric obesity-related comorbidities specifically in obese children, the FFFII participants generated a set of practical consensus statements developed by reviewing best evidence and guidelines from the literature as well as by consensus from the participating pediatric obesity centers. These statements focus on the clinical and laboratory screening, interpretation of results, and treatment of the most common comorbidities found in children and adolescents who are overweight or obese.

These are recommended consensus statements for clinicians to consider when developing treatment plans for their pediatric patients with obesity. The unique circumstances of each patient must be considered. Practice variability is expected as a result of demographics, population served, location, and resources available, among other factors. This variability was clearly illustrated in the results from the FFFII survey. The intention for the development of the consensus statements is to improve the care of children affected by obesity and its related comorbidities and reduce the need for referrals to subspecialists, who are
scarce in many parts of the country. The availability of these consensus statements should facilitate not only the screening and diagnosis of the most common comorbidities, but also lead to early initial management of these conditions. By reducing referrals to subspecialists, earlier diagnosis and treatment, these consensus statements can improve the patient experience and can make care for pediatric patients more cost-effective. Practice patterns and access to subspecialists vary greatly by geography, so these factors also need to be considered when creating a treatment plan for a patient.

More research is needed to continue to improve the treatment of childhood obesity-related comorbidities. These consensus statements present a possible framework from which the evaluations can be further studied and adjusted based on the rapidly evolving field of pediatric and adolescent obesity medicine.

Conclusions

The combination of a high number of children at risk for obesity-related comorbidities, PCPs that have not enough training or resources to deal with these problems, and the shortage of pediatric subspecialists in the United States creates a hazardous situation for these children. Obesity is a relatively new disease, and comorbidities are just beginning to be identified; therefore, one can only expect this situation to deteriorate over time.

The consensus statements presented in this article may help keep the management of these children in their medical home and provide guidance to those sites that may not have subspecialists available.

Further studies and large-scale clinical trials to assess childhood obesity comorbidities are required to provide more firm guidelines that are evidence based.
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