

11-22-2017

Clinical Evaluation of the Cepheid Xpert® TV Assay for Detection of *Trichomonas Vaginalis* with Prospectively Collected Female and Male Specimens.

Jane R Schwebke

C A Gaydos

T Davis

J Marrazzo

D Furgerson

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://hsrc.himmelfarb.gwu.edu/sphhs_epibiostats_facpubs

 Part of the [Biostatistics Commons](#), and the [Epidemiology Commons](#)

APA Citation

Schwebke, J., Gaydos, C., Davis, T., Marrazzo, J., Furgerson, D., Taylor, S., Smith, B., Bachmann, L., Ackerman, R., Spurrell, T., Ferris, D., Burnham, C., Reno, H., Lebed, J., Eisenberg, D., Kerndt, P., Philip, S., Jordan, J., & Quigley, N. (2017). Clinical Evaluation of the Cepheid Xpert® TV Assay for Detection of *Trichomonas Vaginalis* with Prospectively Collected Female and Male Specimens. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, (). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01091-17>

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Epidemiology and Biostatistics at Health Sciences Research Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Epidemiology and Biostatistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Health Sciences Research Commons. For more information, please contact hsrc@gwu.edu.

Authors

Jane R Schwebke, C A Gaydos, T Davis, J Marrazzo, D Furgerson, S N Taylor, B Smith, L H Bachmann, R Ackerman, T Spurrell, D Ferris, C A Burnham, H Reno, J Lebed, D Eisenberg, P Kerndt, S Philip, J Jordan, and N Quigley

1 Clinical Evaluation of the Cepheid Xpert[®] TV Assay for detection of *Trichomonas*
2 *vaginalis* with Prospectively Collected Female and Male Specimens
3 Jane R. Schwebke¹ #, CA Gaydos², T Davis³, J Marrazzo¹, D Furgerson⁴, SN Taylor⁵, B
4 Smith⁶, L H Bachmann⁷, R Ackerman⁸, T Spurrell⁹, D Ferris¹⁰, CA Burnham¹¹, H Reno¹¹,
5 J Lebed¹², D Eisenberg¹³, P Kerndt¹⁴, S Philip¹⁵, J Jordan¹⁶, N Quigley¹⁷

6
7 Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama,
8 USA¹; Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD², Indiana University School of
9 Medicine, Indianapolis, IN³, Planned Parenthood Mar Monte, San Jose, CA⁴,
10 Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA⁵, Planned
11 Parenthood Gulf Coast, Houston, TX⁶, Wake Forest University Health Sciences,
12 Winston-Salem, NC⁷, Comprehensive Clinical Trials, W. Palm Beach, FL⁸, Planned
13 Parenthood of Southern New England, New Haven, CT⁹, Augusta University, Augusta,
14 GA¹⁰, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO¹¹, Planned Parenthood
15 Southeastern PA, Philadelphia, PA¹², Planned Parenthood St. Louis Region, St. Louis,
16 MO¹³, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA¹⁴, San Francisco Public
17 Health, San Francisco, CA¹⁵, George Washington University School of Public Health,
18 Washington, D.C.¹⁶, Geneuity, Maryville, TN¹⁷

19

20 Running Head: Xpert TV Assay in Female and Male Specimens

21

22 #Address Correspondence to Jane R. Schwebke, jschwebk@uabmc.edu

23 **Abstract**

24 Trichomoniasis is the most prevalent curable sexually transmitted disease (STD). It has
25 been associated with preterm birth and acquisition/transmission of HIV. Recently, nucleic
26 acid amplification tests (NAAT) have been FDA-cleared in the United States for
27 detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis* (TV) in specimens from both women and men. This
28 current study reports the results of a multicenter study recently conducted using the Xpert
29 TV Assay to test specimens from both men and women. On-demand results were
30 available in as little as 40 minutes for positive specimens. A total of 1867 women and
31 4791 men were eligible for inclusion in the analysis. In women, the performance of the
32 Xpert TV Assay was compared to a patient infected status (PIS) derived from results of
33 InPouch TV broth culture and Aptima NAAT for TV. Diagnostic sensitivity and
34 specificity of the Xpert TV Assay for the combined female specimens (urines, self-
35 collected vaginal swabs, and endocervical swabs) ranged from 99.5 - 100% and 99.4 –
36 99.9%, respectively. For male urines, diagnostic sensitivity and specificity was 97.2%
37 and 99.9% respectively, compared to a PIS derived from results of broth culture for TV
38 and bi-directional gene sequencing of the amplicons. Excellent performance
39 characteristics were seen using both female and male specimens. The ease of using the
40 Xpert TV Assay should result in opportunities for enhanced screening for TV in both
41 men and women and hopefully improved control of this infection.

42

43

44 **Introduction**

45 *Trichomonas vaginalis* (TV) is a flagellated protozoan parasite that causes genitourinary
46 infections in women and men. It is the most prevalent curable sexually transmitted
47 disease (STD) and is easily treated with inexpensive antibiotics (1, 2). Trichomoniasis
48 causes distressing symptoms such as vaginal discharge and irritation and urethritis in men
49 (3, 4). It is also significantly associated with an increased risk of preterm birth and
50 acquisition/transmission of STDs, including HIV, as well as being associated with
51 infertility (5-8). Currently, however, there is no national control program for this
52 infection. Until recently, available tests were relatively insensitive (direct microscopy,
53 antigen detection) or not widely available (culture) for detection of the parasite (9, 10).
54 The advent and FDA clearance of nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) for diagnosis
55 of TV in women (urine [UR] , endocervical swabs [ES], vaginal swabs, self-collected
56 vaginal swabs [SC-VS]) has greatly improved our diagnostic capability but until now
57 there was no FDA cleared test for detection of TV in male urine (11). The GeneXpert
58 System (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) is already used to diagnose other STDs such as
59 *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* (12). We now report on the
60 performance of this assay for the detection of TV in specimens from women and men.

61

62 **Results**

63 **Results for Female Subjects**

64 A total of 1876 female subjects were initially enrolled in this clinical study, of which
65 1867 (99.5%) were eligible for inclusion. The nine ineligible subjects consisted of three

66 subjects with a history of hysterectomy, two subjects with improper or incomplete
67 informed consent, two subjects previously enrolled in the study, and two subjects who
68 had been treated with antibiotics within the 21 days prior to study enrollment. Of the
69 1867 eligible study participants, 714 (38.2%) were symptomatic and 1153 (61.8%) were
70 asymptomatic. The average age among eligible study participants was 33.5 years (range
71 = 18 to 78 years).

72 From the 1867 eligible female study subjects, 1799 (96.4%) ES specimens, 1791 (95.9%)
73 PC-VS specimens, and 1793 (96.0%) UR specimens were included in the final data set.
74 The reasons for exclusion of each specimen type are shown in Figure 1.

75 Results of the Xpert TV assay for 98.4% (5306/5391) of the samples from eligible female
76 subjects were successful on the first attempt. The 85 indeterminate results included 74
77 ERROR*, nine INVALID†, and two NO RESULT‡. Eighty-three of the 85 indeterminate
78 cases were retested; two samples were not retested. Seventy-seven of 83 indeterminate
79 cases retested yielded valid results upon repeat assay. The overall rate of assay success
80 was 99.9% (5383/5391).

81 Overall, relative to the PIS, the Xpert TV Assay demonstrated an initial sensitivity and
82 specificity of 98.4% and 99.7%, respectively, for urine; 98.9% and 98.9% for
83 endocervical swabs, respectively; and 96.4% and 99.6% for patient-collected vaginal

*Test failed possibly because the reaction tube was filled improperly, a reagent probe integrity problem was detected, pressure limits were exceeded, or a valve positioning error was detected.

† SPC and/or the SAC failed. The sample was not properly processed, PCR was inhibited or the sample was not properly collected.

‡ Tests were aborted.

84 swabs, respectively (Table 1). There were no statistically significant differences in
85 performance with respect to symptomatic status (Table 2).

86

87 Homogeneity analysis by site indicated that the results across sites were poolable for
88 specificity. For diagnostic sensitivity, female ES specimens demonstrated non-
89 homogeneity; however, the overall sensitivity was high (99.5%), with all sites but one
90 having 100% sensitivity. The one site with less than 100% sensitivity demonstrated a
91 sensitivity of 83.3% [(10/12), 95% CI 51.6%, 97.9%]. Though the upper Confidence
92 Interval (CI) includes the Null Hypothesis, the sample size was too small for meaningful
93 analysis. Some site-to-site variation can be expected, as well as some amount of
94 sampling variation. Keeping this site in the pooled analysis, although it may have a
95 slightly lower sensitivity than the other sites, was considered conservative.

96

97 Results for Male Subjects

98 Figure 1 illustrated specimen accountability for male subjects. A total of 4798 male
99 subjects were enrolled in the study, of which 4791 (99.9%) were eligible for evaluation.
100 The seven ineligible subjects consisted of one subject with improper or incomplete
101 informed consent, two subjects previously enrolled in the study, two subjects who had
102 been treated with antibiotics within the 21 days prior to study enrollment, one subject
103 who was not sexually active, and one subject <14 years of age. The average age among
104 eligible male study participants with valid test results was 36.2 years (range = 16 to 78
105 years). Of these 4611 study participants, 1088 (23.6%) were symptomatic and 3523

106 (76.5%) were asymptomatic. The prevalence of TV in males across all study sites was
107 2.7%.

108 In all, a total of 4626 (96.6%) specimens from eligible male subjects were tested by the
109 Xpert TV Assay. The urine specimens excluded consisted of 96 for shipping delays, 36
110 tested >72 hours after collection, 21 GeneXpert modules out of calibration, and 12 not
111 tested. Xpert TV assays for 97.7% (4521/4626) of the samples from eligible subjects
112 were successful on the first attempt. The 105 indeterminate results included 84 ERROR,
113 12 INVALID results, and 9 NO RESULT. One hundred of the 105 (95.2%)
114 indeterminate cases were retested; five samples were not retested. Ninety of 100 (90%)
115 indeterminate cases that were retested yielded valid results upon repeat assay. Thus, the
116 overall rate of Xpert TV assay success was 99.7% (4611/4626).

117 Overall, relative to the PIS, the Xpert TV Assay demonstrated an initial diagnostic
118 sensitivity and specificity for male urine specimens of 89.6% and 99.3%, respectively
119 (Table 3). Secondary sequencing was performed on specimens with discordant results.
120 The validated bi-directional sequencing procedure demonstrated that the sequencing LoD
121 was similar to the Xpert TV Assay male urine analytical LoD, resulting in similar
122 frequency of random dropouts with specimens with low level TV organisms. There were
123 no statistically significant differences in performance with respect to symptomatic status.

124 **Discussion**

125 Trichomoniasis is a highly prevalent STD and presents with a spectrum of symptoms or
126 with no symptoms (3). In women, the prevalence of infection spans the reproductive
127 years and beyond with some studies showing high rates in older women (13). The

128 epidemiology is less well understood in men, although a recent study showed that age
129 over 40 years was also associated with TV-associated STIs (14). Accurate identification
130 of trichomoniasis is important for several reasons including optimizing treatment,
131 realizing the need for treatment of the sexual partner(s) (15), and potential for prevention
132 of associated public health consequences, such as preterm birth and
133 acquisition/transmission of HIV (6-8). The CDC has recently recommended NAAT are
134 the preferred diagnostic modality in light of their superior sensitivity compared to direct
135 microscopy or culture-based methods for detecting TV (16). Diagnostic testing is
136 recommended for symptomatic women and screening should be considered for
137 individuals with multiple sex partners, persons who exchange sex for payment, use drugs,
138 and/or have a history of STDs, and for women in high prevalence settings, such as STD
139 clinics and correctional facilities (2). Annual screening is recommended for women with
140 HIV infection due to the high rates of TV infection in this population (17). Rescreening
141 is recommended within 3 months of the initial diagnosis due to high recurrence rates
142 (18). Currently, there are no firm screening recommendations for men due to the fact that
143 an FDA-cleared test has only recently become available. However, men attending STD
144 clinics should be considered for screening (2). A recent study showed a prevalence rate of
145 nearly 10% among men attending an STD clinic in Birmingham, AL (14).

146

147 Although other NAAT in addition to the Xpert TV Assay have also been recently FDA
148 cleared for the diagnosis of TV in women (11, 19), the Xpert TV Assay can provide on-
149 demand results in 63 minutes or less, with early termination for positive results within 40
150 minutes. This quicker turn-around-time makes the GeneXpert platform ideal for use in

151 high risk settings where diagnosis and treatment could ideally take place in real time for
152 optimal public health control of this STD.

153

154 The platform is easy to use and thus suitable for in-clinic testing at the point-of-care
155 (POC). Furthermore, the equally high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity
156 demonstrated here for urine, self-collected vaginal swabs, and endocervical swabs in
157 symptomatic and asymptomatic patients makes the assay an important diagnostic
158 screening tool for patients in high risk settings such as STI clinics and emergency
159 departments as well as gynecology clinics with laboratory facilities. Of note, the majority
160 of men were asymptomatic suggesting that screening for trichomoniasis should be
161 considered for high-risk men such as those attending STD clinics.

162

163 The availability of NAATs testing for TV in men is a welcome addition from a public
164 health perspective and has been a key element missing for the control of this STD. The
165 sensitivity and specificity of the Xpert TV Assay were both high relative to the PIS, 97.2
166 and 99.9%, respectively. However, it should be noted that InPouch cultures for this study
167 followed the current manufacturer's package insert at the time of the study (Biomed
168 Diagnostics document no. 100-001, revision K) which instructed users to incubate and
169 read cultures up to 3 days. A study conducted by Rivers and colleagues showed that
170 17.2% additional positive cultures were identified when cultures are incubated and read
171 up to 5 days. Thus, a study comparing the Xpert TV Assay directly to InPouch cultures
172 incubated for 5 days would need to be conducted in order to determine if the sensitivity
173 of the Xpert assay would be slightly lower.

174 In summary, TV is a highly prevalent STI associated with increased risk of HIV
175 acquisition/transmission as well as preterm births. The availability of an FDA cleared test
176 for men is especially welcome. Recent advances in molecular diagnostics for this highly
177 prevalent infection, if implemented, should begin to reduce the burden of disease.

178

179 **Materials and Methods**

180 This multi-center study evaluated test performance in prospectively collected first catch
181 urine (UR) from both women and men, endocervical swab specimens (ES), clinician-
182 collected vaginal swab specimens (CC-VS), and patient-collected vaginal swabs (PC-VS)
183 in a clinical setting. The sites participating in this study were academic medical centers,
184 STD clinics, family planning clinics, public health departments, and clinical trial offices.
185 The sites were located across the United States including the west coast, the Midwest,
186 southern states, and the east coast. Subjects were eligible for enrollment regardless of the
187 presence or absence of genital symptoms. Seventeen diverse geographic sites participated
188 in collection of the specimens. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained for
189 each of the collection sites.

190 To be eligible for study enrollment participants needed to be sexually active, ≥ 14 years of
191 age, and provide informed consent and minor assent if needed. Subjects were excluded if
192 they had been previously enrolled in the study, had received antimicrobial therapy within
193 21 days prior to enrollment, had undergone a hysterectomy, or had urinated less than one
194 hour prior to specimen collection. Female study participants were classified as
195 symptomatic if they reported any of the following symptoms: itching, burning, redness,

196 soreness or irritation of the genitals, unusual odor, abnormal vaginal discharge, coital
197 pain, and/or dysuria. Males were classified as symptomatic if they reported any of the
198 following signs and/or symptoms: urethral discharge, dysuria, or urethral itching or
199 burning, burning after ejaculation and/or dysuria. Study participants not reporting any of
200 the above symptoms were classified as asymptomatic.

201 The following samples were collected from females: one PC-VS (collected in a clinical
202 setting) for testing by the Xpert TV Assay, followed by two CC-VS for testing by
203 InPouch TV culture-based methods (BioMed Diagnostics, White City, OR) and the
204 Aptima TV NAAT (Hologic, Bedford, MA) (specimens alternated), three ES (the first
205 swab for In Pouch, while the second and third swabs were alternated for Aptima and
206 Xpert assays), and 35-50 mL of first catch UR for InPouch, Xpert, and Aptima assays.
207 For males, 35-50 mL of first catch urine was collected for InPouch, bi-directional gene
208 sequencing of amplicons, and Xpert testing. For “collection-only” participating sites, the
209 specimens designated for Xpert testing and reference assay testing were shipped to
210 designated testing laboratories on the same day as collection, whenever possible. All
211 Xpert testing was performed within 72 hours of collection.

212

213 Assay Procedures

214 Each trial specimen was collected by using the Cepheid specimen collection device (swab
215 or urine) and tested by the Xpert TV Assay. Transport reagent containing the specimen
216 was gently inverted 3 to 4 times followed by transferring 0.5 mL of the sample to the
217 Xpert cartridge, using the supplied transfer pipet. Aptima assay testing was performed

218 according to the manufacturer's package insert. For InPouch, CC-VS and ES were
219 inoculated at each collection site. For UR cultures in InPouch, 10-15 mL of the urine
220 specimen was centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 minutes and the sediment inoculated into the
221 culture pouch on-site within one hour of collection. Culture pouches were shipped to the
222 reference testing laboratory within 24 hours of collection with receipt of specimens
223 occurring within 48 hours of collection. These specimens were shipped at ambient
224 temperature with two warming packs per shipping box. At the reference laboratory
225 cultures were incubated at 37 °C and read daily on weekdays for 3 days to look for the
226 presence of motile protozoan with characteristic morphology per the InPouch package
227 insert instructions.

228 Results of the reference tests (InPouch and Aptima for female specimens and InPouch
229 and a validated sequencing method (bi-directional amplicon sequencing of the excess
230 urine remaining from Xpert testing for male specimens)) were used to determine the
231 patient infected status (PIS). The PIS was used to designate a subject as infected or not
232 infected. The subject was considered infected if either of the reference test results were
233 positive for TV, while the subject was considered not infected when both reference test
234 results were negative for TV. Bi-directional nucleic acid sequencing was performed on
235 specimens from women with discrepant results between Xpert and the PIS, as well as
236 from an equal number of specimens from women who were determined to be uninfected
237 (i.e., true negatives). For male specimens, secondary sequencing was performed on any
238 specimens with discrepant results between Xpert and the PIS. Quality control for the
239 Xpert TV Assay consisted of one TV-negative and one TV-positive external control, with
240 both controls being run on each day that study specimens were tested. Study specimens

241 were not run until valid test results were obtained for both the negative and positive
242 controls. Additionally, several internal controls are built-in the assay to monitor all
243 aspects of the analytical process with each specimen run: a Sample Processing Control
244 (SPC) a Sample Adequacy Control (SAC), and a Probe Check Control (PCC). The SPC
245 is present to control for adequate processing of the target Trichomonads and to monitor
246 the presence of inhibitors in the PCR reaction. The SAC reagents detect the presence of a
247 single copy human gene and to monitor whether the specimen contains human cells. The
248 PCC verifies reagent rehydration, PCR tube filling in the cartridge, probe integrity and
249 dye stability. Only those study specimens with valid control results available for all study
250 test methods were included in the data analyses.

251 Statistical analyses were performed using SAS.

252

253

254 **References**

- 255 1. Satterwhite CL, Torrone E, Meites E, Dunne EF, Mahajan R, Ocfemia MC, Su J,
256 Xu F, Weinstock H. 2013. Sexually transmitted infections among US women and
257 men: prevalence and incidence estimates, 2008. *Sex Transm Dis* 40:187-93.
- 258 2. Workowski KA, Bolan GA. 2015. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment
259 guidelines, 2015. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 64:1-137.
- 260 3. Wolner-Hanssen P, Krieger JN, Stevens CE, Kiviat NB, Koutsky L, Critchlow C,
261 DeRouen T, Hillier S, Holmes KK. 1989. Clinical manifestations of vaginal
262 trichomoniasis. *JAMA* 261:571-6.
- 263 4. Schwebke J, Hook EI. 2003. High Rates of *Trichomonas vaginalis* among men
264 attending a Sexually Transmitted Diseases Clinic: Implications for Screening and
265 Urethritis Management. *J Infect Dis* 188:465-468.
- 266 5. Rivero LR, Pena MR, Perez CS, Monroy SP, Sariego Ramos I, Nodarse JF. 2002.
267 [Frequency of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection in couples with fertility problems].
268 *Rev Cubana Med Trop* 54:85-90.
- 269 6. Cotch MF, Pastorek JG, 2nd, Nugent RP, Hillier SL, Gibbs RS, Martin DH,
270 Eschenbach DA, Edelman R, Carey JC, Regan JA, Krohn MA, Klebanoff MA,
271 Rao AV, Rhoads GG. 1997. *Trichomonas vaginalis* associated with low birth
272 weight and preterm delivery. The Vaginal Infections and Prematurity Study
273 Group. *Sex Transm Dis* 24:353-60.
- 274 7. Hughes JP, Baeten JM, Lingappa JR, Magaret AS, Wald A, de Bruyn G, Kiarie J,
275 Inambao M, Kilembe W, Farquhar C, Celum C, Partners in Prevention

- 276 HSVHIVTST. 2012. Determinants of per-coital-act HIV-1 infectivity among
277 African HIV-1-serodiscordant couples. *J Infect Dis* 205:358-65.
- 278 8. Mavedzenge SN, Pol BV, Cheng H, Montgomery ET, Blanchard K, de Bruyn G,
279 Ramjee G, Straten A. 2010. Epidemiological synergy of *Trichomonas vaginalis*
280 and HIV in Zimbabwean and South African women. *Sex Transm Dis* 37:460-6.
- 281 9. Borchardt K, Smith R. 1991. An evaluation fo an InPouch™ TV culture method
282 for diagnosing *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection. *Genitourin Med* 67:149-152.
- 283 10. Kurth A, Whittington WL, Golden MR, Thomas KK, Holmes KK, Schwebke JR.
284 2004. Performance of a new, rapid assay for detection of *Trichomonas vaginalis*. *J*
285 *Clin Microbiol* 42:2940-3.
- 286 11. Schwebke JR, Hobbs MM, Taylor SN, Sena AC, Catania MG, Weinbaum BS,
287 Johnson AD, Getman DK, Gaydos CA. 2011. Molecular testing for *Trichomonas*
288 *vaginalis* in women: results from a prospective U.S. clinical trial. *J Clin Microbiol*
289 49:4106-11.
- 290 12. Gaydos CA, Van Der Pol B, Jett-Goheen M, Barnes M, Quinn N, Clark C, Daniel
291 GE, Dixon PB, Hook EW, 3rd, Group CNS. 2013. Performance of the Cepheid
292 CT/NG Xpert Rapid PCR Test for Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and
293 *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 51:1666-72.
- 294 13. Ginocchio CC, Chapin K, Smith JS, Aslanzadeh J, Snook J, Hill CS, Gaydos CA.
295 2012. Prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* and coinfection with *Chlamydia*
296 *trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in the United States as determined by the
297 Aptima *Trichomonas vaginalis* nucleic acid amplification assay. *J Clin Microbiol*
298 50:2601-8.

- 299 14. Muzny CA, Blackburn RJ, Sinsky RJ, Austin EL, Schwebke JR. 2014. Added
300 benefit of nucleic acid amplification testing for the diagnosis of *Trichomonas*
301 *vaginalis* among men and women attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic.
302 *Clin Infect Dis* 59:834-41.
- 303 15. Soper DE, Shoupe D, Shangold GA, Shangold MM, Gutmann J, Mercer L. 1993.
304 Prevention of Vaginal Trichomoniasis by Compliant Use of the Female Condom.
305 *Sex Transm Dis* 20:137-139.
- 306 16. Workowski KA, Bolan GA, Centers for Disease C, Prevention. 2015. Sexually
307 transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 64:1-137.
- 308 17. Muzny CA, Rivers CA, Austin EL, Schwebke JR. 2013. *Trichomonas vaginalis*
309 infection among women receiving gynaecological care at an Alabama HIV Clinic.
310 *Sex Transm Infect* 89:514-8.
- 311 18. Peterman TA, Tian LH, Metcalf CA, Satterwhite CL, Malotte CK, DeAugustine
312 N, Paul SM, Cross H, Rietmeijer CA, Douglas JM, Jr., Group R-S. 2006. High
313 incidence of new sexually transmitted infections in the year following a sexually
314 transmitted infection: a case for rescreening. *Ann Intern Med* 145:564-72.
- 315 19. Van Der Pol B, Williams JA, Taylor SN, Cammarata CL, Rivers CA, Body BA,
316 Nye M, Fuller D, Schwebke JR, Barnes M, Gaydos CA. 2014. Detection of
317 *Trichomonas vaginalis* DNA by use of self-obtained vaginal swabs with the BD
318 ProbeTec Qx assay on the BD Viper system. *J Clin Microbiol* 52:885-9.
- 319
320

321 **Acknowledgments**

322 This study was supported in full by Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA

323 The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily

324 reflect the view of Planned Parenthood of America, Inc. Dr. Schwebke has received

325 consulting fees from Hologic; Dr. Gaydos has received honoraria from Cepheid; Dr.

326 Taylor has received funding from Becton-Dickinson, Hologic, Cepheid, Beckman-

327 Coulter, EliTech, and Roche.

328

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 1 Xpert TV vs. InPouch, Aptima & PIS for Female Subjects

Sample Type	Comparator Test	Total (n)	Sensitivity	95% CI	Specificity	95% CI	Prevalence (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
Endocervical Swab	InPouch	1799	98.7% (153/155)	95.4%-99.8%	97.6% (1604/1644)	96.7%-98.3%	8.6%	89.3%	99.9%
	Aptima	1799	100% (175/175)	98.3%-99.3%	98.9% (1606/1624)	98.3%-99.3%	9.7%	90.7%	100%
	PIS	1799	98.9% (175/177)	96.0%-99.9%	98.9% (1604/1622)	98.3%-99.3%	9.8%	90.7%	99.9%
Patient Collected-Vaginal Swab	InPouch	1791	96.9% (156/161)	92.9%-99.0%	97.7% (1593/1630)	96.9%-98.4%	9.0%	80.8%	99.7%
	Aptima	1791	97.4% (186/191)	94.0%-99.1%	99.6% (1593/1600)	99.1%-99.8%	10.7%	96.4%	99.7%
	PIS	1791	96.4% (186/193)	92.7%-98.5%	99.6% (1591/1598)	99.1%-99.8%	10.8%	96.4%	99.6%
Urine - Female	InPouch	1793	97.7% (148/150)	95.3%-99.8%	97.7% (1606/1643)	96.9%-98.4%	8.4%	80.0%	99.9%
	Aptima	1793	99.4% (178/179)	96.9%-100%	99.6% (1607/1614)	99.1%-99.8%	10.0%	96.2%	99.9%
	PIS	1793	98.4% (180/183)	95.3%-99.7%	99.7% (1605/1610)	99.3%-99.9%	10.2%	97.3%	99.8%

Table 2 Xpert TV vs PIS by Symptomatic Female Status

Sample Type	Status	Total (n)	Sens	95% CI	Spec	95% CI	Prev (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
Endocervical Swab	Symp	685	100% (71/71)	94.9%-100%	98.5% (605/614)	97.2%-99.3%	10.4%	88.8%	100%
	Asymp	1114	98.1% (104/106)	93.4%-99.8%	99.1% (999/1008)	98.3%-99.6%	9.5%	92.0%	99.8%
	Overall	1799	98.9% (175/177)	96.0%-99.9%	98.9% (1604/1622)	98.3%-99.3%	9.8%	90.7%	99.9%
	Difference	P-Value	P=0.517	-0.70%, 4.48%	P=0.331	-1.69%, 0.54%			
Patient Collected – Vaginal Swab	Symp	682	98.6% (73/74)	92.7%-100%	99.5% (605/608)	98.6%-99.9%	10.9%	96.1%	99.8%
	Asymp	1109	95.0% (113/119)	89.3%-98.1%	99.6% (986/990)	99.0%-99.9%	10.7%	96.6%	99.4%
	Overall	1791	96.4% (186/193)	92.7%-98.5%	99.6% (1591/1598)	99.1%-99.8%	10.8%	96.4%	99.6%
	Difference	P-Value	P=0.254	-1.04%, 8.42%	P=1.000	-0.77%, 0.59%			
Urine - Female	Symp	688	98.6% (71/72)	92.5%-100%	99.8% (615/616)	99.1%-100%	10.5%	98.6%	99.8%
	Asymp	1105	98.2% (109/111)	93.6%-99.8%	99.6% (990/994)	99.0%-99.9%	10.0%	96.5%	99.8%
	Overall	1793	98.4% (180/183)	95.3%-99.7%	99.7% (1605/1610)	99.3%-99.9%	10.2%	97.3%	99.8%
	Difference	P-Value	P=1.000	-3.25%, 4.08%	P=0.655	-0.27%, 0.75%			

Table 3 Xpert TV vs. PIS Initial Results based on Symptomatic Status

Sample Type	Status	Total (n)	Sens	95% CI	Spec	95% CI	Prev (%)	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
Male Urine	Symp	1088	87.5% (28/32)	71.9%-95.0%	99.8% (1054/1056)	99.3%-99.9%	2.9%	93.3%	99.6%
	Asymp	3523	90.3% (84/93)	82.6%-94.8%	99.2% (3401/3430)	98.8%-99.4%	2.6%	74.3%	99.7%
	Overall	4611	89.6% (112/125) ^a	83.0%-93.8%	99.3% (4455/4486) ^b	99.0%-99.5%	2.7%	78.3%	99.7%
	Difference		P=0.738	-15.8%, 10.1%	P=0.020	0.25%, 1.06%			

^aTesting results by secondary sequencing: 9 of 13 false negatives were TV negative; 4 of 13 were TV positive

^bTesting results by secondary sequencing: 27 of 31 false positives were TV positive; 4 of 31 were TV negative.

Figure 1 – Specimen Accountability from Eligible Female and Male Participants

