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Fairfax County’s Commitment: A Housing and Health Continuum for Seniors

BACKGROUND
Over the course of several years, the National Health Policy Forum has sponsored a series of site visits looking at policy issues around long-term care. The objectives in planning this March 2007 visit were to look at a range of services, including housing as well as health care. NHPF was drawn to Fairfax County because of its well-integrated and -financed programs aimed at creating and maintaining a continuum of services for county residents who are aging. In considering complex and interrelated issues associated with senior services and long-term care, the Forum believed that the perception that “Local people can make this work!” could provide both grounding and encouragement to federal policymakers.

PROGRAM
The site visit took place on March 2, 2007, beginning at Fairfax County’s Lincolnia site, which offers Senior Center, Senior+(Plus), and Adult Day Health Care (ADHC) programs along with residential and assisted living units. Participants were given a tour and heard a presentation by the heads of the various county senior-service program areas. This was followed by a discussion with caregivers, who related what the availability of services such as ADHC had meant in their lives. A lunch program featured discussion with the deputy county executive who supervises senior-service agencies and guides the creation, maintenance, and financing of a senior-friendly Fairfax County. The afternoon program included a tour of the Lewinsville site and a discussion with representatives of various populations in the county about their challenges in uniting ethnic seniors, often foreign-born, with available services.

IMPRESSIONS
After the site visit, participants were asked to reflect on their experiences and observations. The following are key impressions participants took away from the presentations, as well as additional insights developed during a follow-up debriefing session.
County Characteristics and Commitments

A commitment to “aging in place” for county residents is a long-standing hallmark of Fairfax County government.

This commitment is supported by an actively engaged elected Board of Supervisors and a prosperous economy. Being part of the wealthy metropolitan Washington, DC, area has bolstered the county’s economic stability, but strategic, long-term planning for economic development has been an emphasis in the county for decades. Economic prosperity has brought tax revenues to support a plethora of local programs in infrastructure development, human services, transportation, and more.

Members of the Board of Supervisors are personally involved in aging services initiatives.

They have organized themselves into a committee of the whole on aging, their intent being not only to address the development and funding of aging services, but also to begin to deal with structural issues such as land use and transportation planning that affect elderly or disabled citizens. Board members are personally involved in these efforts. Professional staffs working with honest elected officials seems to have equaled effective government.

Fairfax and other northern Virginia jurisdictions are extremely different, economically and politically, from the remainder of the state.

A 2 percent unemployment rate in the county reflects the strong financial climate. Fairfax County’s prosperity enables it to provide services to augment what are perceived as the somewhat lean offerings of Virginia state government in Medicaid and services for the elderly.

Human Services and Aging Programs

Human services, organized under a deputy county administrator, seem to be extremely well integrated.

Consistent leadership and vision reflecting its institutional tradition inspires staff, fuels creativity, and reinforces commitment.

The area agency on aging is a key part of county government.

It is part of human services administration rather than standing alone as it does in many other places. This structure facilitates integration into a continuum of services offered to seniors by the county.
Aging and disability services benefit from coordination through a public-private, long-term care coordinating council.

The council has developed and monitors a strategic plan for aging and long-term care programs. Ongoing coordination and collaboration are encouraged and pursued, both formally through the council and informally through strong personal relationships among staff in different parts of the human services department.

County officials perceive that the bulk of long-term care services, particularly with respect to housing and skilled nursing facility care, will always be provided in the private sector.

One key strategy has been to create an incentive fund available to private groups to leverage county resources to create and run new services for a broader segment of the senior population.

Longstanding cordiality among staff from different programs contributes to effective service development.

An informal “old girls and guys” network of county staff from housing, recreation, health, aging, transportation, and other human services programs contributes to the collaborative approach by meeting regularly to share insights and coordinate activities aimed at aging and long-term care needs.

Fairfax has local administrative and delivery resources that most counties do not.

It has a local health agency, whereas many counties in Virginia rely on a state-level agency. Fairfax also has a local hospital (Fairfax Hospital, now part of Inova Health System) that provides a safety net for those who need clinic or acute care services. The hospital is obligated by founding contract to provide care to county residents, regardless of ability to pay. Because it can serve, for example, elderly noncitizens who are ineligible for Medicare and Medicaid, this resource is critical.

A significant percentage of the Fairfax budget is dedicated to serving the senior population.

Approximately $67 million (4.2 percent) of the county’s budget goes to aging/disability services, according to Deputy County Executive Verdia Haywood. Housing, aging, and health care money is integrated into this total.

Continuum of Care in Aging

The county has purposefully set about to develop a continuum of services, particularly for low-income seniors.

These services range from senior activities in a recreation-center model with a meal available, to additional services in this setting for those beginning to need more health or social services, to adult day health services available on a sliding-fee
scale, to assisted living and residential apartments for low-income seniors. Low-cost transportation services assist in making these services reliably available for many people. Most users of Fastran, the county’s “door-to-door” bus service for those who are unable to use public transportation because of a disability or disabling health condition, are not charged a fare; for some trips the daily fare may range from $1.00 to $5.00, depending on income. Senior centers can arrange for regular Fastran pickups for their participants.

**Seniors need a variety of services, some more easy to standardize than others.**

Services needed at the extremes of the continuum (recreation at one end, nursing home placement or even hospice at the other) are fairly easy to define. In the center, needs and the services to meet them are more varied and complicated, depending in part on the financial and social supports available to the individual and in part on their and their families’ preferences.

**Senior centers encourage participants to socialize, learn, and stay active.**

One result is that these centers can be a resource that helps to delay the need for more intensive services. Senior+ is a fairly new program designed for participants who are starting to need extra help to maintain participation in senior center activities, again delaying the need to transfer to a higher level of care.

**Adult day health care is a bridge between the senior center and more clinical, home- or institution-based care.**

ADHC provides more structure and support than senior centers or Senior+. Many ADHC participants have cognitive limitations. ADHC participants must be certified as nursing-home-eligible to qualify for Medicaid payment of ADHC fees. Since Virginia has fairly stringent eligibility requirements, the fact that Medicaid does pay such fees illustrates that ADHC keeps some seniors out of institutions and in their community.

**Subsidized assisted living units, though far from luxurious, are seen as a valuable benefit by residents.**

The county has waiting lists for such units. The prevailing model features shared rooms, which is substantially different from what is offered in high-end private pay/unsubsidized assisted living facilities.

**Assisted living has a large component of mental health services.**

Half of the residents of one assisted living unit were receiving care not related to cognitive disorders but targeting mental health diagnoses.
Transportation is a growing problem in a county that was not developed as a pedestrian-friendly or aging-friendly place.

There is no direct access to public transportation in many areas, and county officials recognize that dramatic change is needed. However, the existing Fastran transportation system for elderly and disabled is a key element in connecting people and services. Fastran operators must be able to help seniors navigate from door to door and ideally must be able to accommodate (and reassure) people who do not have the language skills to say where they want to go.

Caregiver Impressions

A continuum of services for the aging is important to caregivers as well as seniors themselves.

Indeed, such services may be more important to caregivers, particularly families, than even to the individuals involved, particularly in the case of Alzheimer’s disease patients. A continuum, especially access to affordable ADHC, lightens both the physical and the emotional load on caregivers.

The visibility of ADHC to families and caregivers can help them in making a care transition.

“I could see she was OK there,” one caregiver said of her mother, “so I felt I could leave her and it would be all right.” ADHC can offer both direct care to seniors and respite to their caregivers.

Complications for a Community with Extensive Cultural Diversity

Fairfax has a growing population of minority seniors.

Many different cultural traditions and languages, including those from Middle Eastern, Hispanic, Asian, and African countries of origin, are represented in the county. Outreach and service delivery to immigrant populations is a growing challenge everywhere, and Fairfax may offer lessons to other jurisdictions.

Seniors who grew up in other cultures present challenges to both service providers and family caregivers.

The challenges and barriers to services are much more difficult than in majority population groups, since immigrant seniors may not learn a new language and some may not be literate in their own language. Barriers to delivering services to seniors of various immigrant groups include language, social isolation, family insularity, and cultural norms and expectations. Nearly all non-U.S. cultural and family traditions involve expectations by seniors that care will be family-based and that strangers will not provide care. Even if care is accepted from “outsiders,” language barriers are nearly always a significant problem.
Senior centers with a cultural identity have been established to reach out to seniors of various cultural subpopulations.

Such centers meet needs for those seniors who want and need to connect and communicate with others who share their history, language, and values. Meals on Wheels programs with ethnic foods extend and expand this approach.

With the encouragement and support of the county, several ethnic communities in Fairfax County have developed special programs that could be replicated elsewhere.

The Korean community has developed a training program for health and personal care aides that is being replicated by other ethnic communities in the county. These programs provide caregivers who are language- and culture-sensitive to the needs of their clients and patients. The Vietnamese community has developed a peer companion model, in which some are trained to work with others like themselves. “Travel training” has been undertaken in the Hispanic community so that those with limited experience and language can learn to ride buses or use other means of transportation.
Friday, March 2, 2007

8:30 am  Bus Departure from Union Station

9:15 am  Arrival – Lincolnia Center and Residences
[4710 North Chambliss Street, Alexandria]

INTRODUCTION: FAIRFAX COUNTY AND LINCOLNIA CENTER AND RESIDENCES

Grace Starbird, Director of Area Agency on Aging, Department of Family Services

Bob Eiffert, Director of Senior and Specialized Housing, Department of Housing and Community Development, Fairfax County Senior Housing Opportunities

Dorothy Keenan, Supervisor of Senior Services, Department of Community and Recreation Services

Jennifer Robinson, Long-Term Care Coordinator, Department of Health

■ What types of services are available in Fairfax county for seniors? Are programs limited to low-income citizens? What is the extent of the funding for these programs?

■ What county agencies are involved in providing services, and how do they coordinate their activities?

■ What county programs are available at Lincolnia? What are the demographic and health characteristics of the clientele enrolled for various programs at Lincolnia?

■ Do people in independent units have the option to move to assisted living? Where do they go if they need a higher level of care than assisted living can provide?

10:15 am  Tour – Three group rotations, touring simultaneously

Lincolnia Residential Services, Bob Eiffert and Frances Allen

Senior Center, Dorothy Keenan

Adult Day Health Care (ADHC) Center, Jennifer Robinson

11:00 am  Informal Talk with Lincolnia Staff, Residents, and Caregivers

■ What services do you provide/receive at Lincolnia?

■ How did you learn about Lincolnia? Did you have to wait for services to become available after you decided to use the facilities?

■ Are Lincolnia services affordable, given your financial situation?

■ How would your life be different if Lincolnia services were not available?

AGENDA
Friday March 2, 2007 / continued

11:45 am  Bus Departure – Lunch [Café Oggi, 6671 Old Dominion Drive, McLean]

12:15 pm  Lunch Discussion

HOW DOES FAIRFAX COUNTY DO IT?

Verdia Haywood, Deputy County Executive, Fairfax County

■ What is the history of the county’s commitment to programs for seniors?
■ What is the long-term care coordinating committee, and what is its role in directing and/or managing these programs?
■ What is the state role as compared with the county role in delivering services to low-income seniors?
■ How are all of these services financed? What is the county’s budget for aging and long-term care programs? What are the sources of funds?
■ In what kinds of public-private partnerships is the county involved?
■ Are other affluent counties across the nation making a similar kind of commitment, or is Fairfax unusual?
■ What are your biggest frustrations with federal programs and federal funding streams?

1:30 pm  Bus Departure for Lewinsville Center and Residences [1609 Great Falls Street, McLean]

1:45 pm  Overview and Tour – Three group rotations, touring simultaneously

Lewinsville Center Residential Services, Bob Eiffert and Eva Papaefthimiou

Senior Center, Dorothy Keenan

ADHC Center, Jennifer Robinson and Carol Bracey

■ What county programs are available at Lewinsville Center?
■ What are the demographic and health characteristics of the clientele enrolled for various programs at Lewinsville?
■ Do the programs for children housed in this center interact with those for seniors?
■ What other facilities and programs are available in this community? What are the future plans for this particular center?
Friday March 2, 2007 / continued

2:45 pm  UNIQUE NEEDS OF ETHNICALLY AND CULTURALLY DIVERSE SENIORS: A PANEL DISCUSSION

Shauna Severo, Assistant Director of Patient Care Services, Department of Health, Fairfax County Health Department
Heisung Lee, Director, Korean Senior Center
Jan Kikuchi, Program Supervisor, Home Delivered Meals, Elderly Nutrition Program, Fairfax Area Agency on Aging
Thu Nguyen, Director of Health and Mental Health Department, Boat People SOS
Moghitha Alkibi, Mental Health Therapist, Community Services Board, Fairfax County

■ What is the breadth and dispersion of the senior ethnic populations residing in Fairfax county? How quickly are different groups growing? How many minority groups have enough seniors to be the focus of targeted county attention and/or services?

■ What are examples of cultural barriers that must be addressed in order to encourage members of various ethnic groups to take advantage of county services?

■ How are different strategies identified and developed for different minority groups?

■ What are some special programs that have been organized to address cultural and language barriers in different ethnic communities?

3:45 pm  Wrap Up – Final questions and comments

4:00 pm  Bus Departure for Union Station
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