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ABSTRACT

Objective: The long-term efficacy and safety of responsive direct neurostimulation was assessed in adults with medically refractory partial onset seizures.

Methods: All participants were treated with a cranially implanted responsive neurostimulator that delivers stimulation to 1 or 2 seizure foci via chronically implanted electrodes when specific electrocorticographic patterns are detected (RNS System). Participants had completed a 2-year primarily open-label safety study (n = 65) or a 2-year randomized blinded controlled safety and efficacy study (n = 191); 230 participants transitioned into an ongoing 7-year study to assess safety and efficacy.

Results: The average participant was 34 (±11.4) years old with epilepsy for 19.6 (±11.4) years. The median preimplant frequency of disabling partial or generalized tonic-clonic seizures was 10.2 seizures a month. The median percent seizure reduction in the randomized blinded controlled trial was 44% at 1 year and 53% at 2 years (p < 0.0001, generalized estimating equation) and ranged from 48% to 66% over postimplant years 3 through 6 in the long-term study. Improvements in quality of life were maintained (p < 0.05). The most common serious device-related adverse events over the mean 5.4 years of follow-up were implant site infection (9.0%) involving soft tissue and neurostimulator explantation (4.7%).

Conclusions: The RNS System is the first direct brain responsive neurostimulator. Acute and sustained efficacy and safety were demonstrated in adults with medically refractory partial onset seizures arising from 1 or 2 foci over a mean follow-up of 5.4 years. This experience supports the RNS System as a treatment option for refractory partial seizures.

Classification of evidence: This study provides Class IV evidence that for adults with medically refractory partial onset seizures, responsive direct cortical stimulation reduces seizures and improves quality of life over a mean follow-up of 5.4 years. Neurology® 2015;84:810–817

GLOSSARY

AE = adverse event; CI = confidence interval; DBS = deep brain stimulation; ECoG = electrocorticographic; LTT = Long-Term Treatment; QOLIE-89 = Quality Of Life in Epilepsy Inventory–89; SAE = serious adverse event; SUDEP = sudden unexplained death in epilepsy; VNS = vagus nerve stimulation.

Stimulation therapies have demonstrated efficacy and safety as adjunctive treatments for medically intractable partial onset seizures. The vagus nerve stimulator (VNS Therapy System, Cyberonics, Houston, TX) provides scheduled (open-loop) stimulation to a peripheral nerve and reduced partial seizure frequency by 24.5%–28% during the blinded period of randomized controlled trials1,2 and demonstrated median seizure reductions in a prospective open-label study of 35% at 1 year and 43% at 3 years.3,4 A randomized controlled trial of scheduled deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the anterior nucleus of the thalamus showed reductions in partial seizures of 41% at 13 months and 56% at 26 months.5

The RNS System is the first responsive (closed-loop) focal cortical stimulator for use as an adjunctive therapy indicated for adults (18 years or older, refractory to 2 or more antiepileptic drugs) having
frequent and disabling partial onset seizures localized to no more than 2 epileptogenic foci by diagnostic testing. The RNS System was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in this patient population for reducing seizure frequency based on a multicenter double-blinded randomized sham-stimulation controlled trial and an earlier feasibility study.

METHODS The RNS System (NeuroPace, Mountain View, CA) provides responsive (closed-loop) stimulation directly to 1 or 2 seizure foci when abnormal electrocorticographic (ECoG) activity is detected, typically epileptiform activity that has been observed at the onset of electrographic seizures. A cranially implanted programmable neurostimulator is connected to depth or subdural cortical strip leads that are surgically placed at 1 or 2 previously identified seizure foci. Each lead contains 4 electrode contacts (figure 1). As many as 4 leads could be implanted in the clinical trials (no more than 2 depth leads), although only 2 leads can be connected to the neurostimulator at a time. The neurostimulator continually senses ECoG activity through the electrodes and is programmed by the physician to detect specific ECoG patterns and deliver brief stimulus pulses in response to detections. The physician adjusts detection and stimulation parameters for each patient as needed for seizure reduction.

The Long-Term Treatment (LTT) Study is an ongoing 7-year multicenter prospective open-label study to evaluate the long-term efficacy and safety of the RNS System. Participants had completed the feasibility or pivotal studies (figure 2). Adverse event (AE) and daily seizure diary data were collected every 6 months at a minimum. Quality of life was assessed yearly by the Quality Of Life In Epilepsy Inventory—89 (QOLIE-89). Antiepileptic medications were adjusted as medically necessary. Efficacy was assessed as median percent change in seizures and as responder rate (the percentage of participants with a 50% or greater reduction in seizures) for each 3-month period compared to the preimplant baseline. Average changes in the QOLIE-89 overall T score and primary scale T scores were compared to the preimplant baseline using a paired t test.

An independent data monitoring committee reviewed all AEs and a second committee determined whether deaths met criteria for sudden unexplained death in epilepsy (SUDEP).

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents (IDE G030126). All study protocols were approved by the institutional review boards of participating investigation sites. All participants gave written informed consent. The studies were registered on www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00572195).

All analyses include data up to November 1, 2013, with the exception of data on deaths and SUDEP, which were as of July 15, 2014.

RESULTS A total of 256 participants were implanted with the neurostimulator and leads and 230 of these participants enrolled in the LTT Study. A total of 191 participants continued to participate as of this data cutoff date, resulting in an accumulated experience of 1,389 patient implant years and 1,293 patient stimulation years. The mean and median follow-up period was 5.4 patient implant years (SD 2.1 years, range 5 weeks–9.6 years). Participant accountability is provided in figure 2.

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics are provided in table 1. Most participants had experienced frequent seizures for many years. One-third had been treated with vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) or epilepsy surgery, and almost two-thirds had been evaluated for epilepsy surgery with intracranial electrodes.

Efficacy. Seizure reduction. The reduction in seizures in participants treated with responsive neurostimulation increased progressively over the first 2 years of treatment and remained stable over years of follow-up (table 2).

Results of the randomized, sham stimulation controlled pivotal trial, which were previously reported, are summarized here. During the randomized blinded period of the pivotal study (months 3 through 5 after implant), the overall seizure reduction in the participants receiving active responsive stimulation (37.9%) was greater than in the participants receiving sham stimulation (17.3%) relative to baseline (p = 0.012, generalized estimating equation). In the first month of the blinded period, seizure reduction in the treatment group was 34.2%, increasing to 38.1% in the second month and reaching 41.5% in the final month. Reductions in seizures were similar in those with mesial temporal and neocortical onsets, in those with 1 and 2 seizure onset foci, in patients with and without prior intracranial monitoring, and in those treated and not treated with VNS or with epilepsy surgery.

During the open-label period of the pivotal study, the median percent reduction in seizures was 44% at 1 year and 53% at 2 years postimplant, a significant improvement over time (p < 0.0001). The reduction in seizures was similar in participants who had changes in their antiseizure medications (n = 98) and those who did not (n = 88).

The reduction in seizures continued over years of follow-up in the LTT Study (table 2). The median percent reduction in seizures was 60% at the beginning of year 3, and 66% at the beginning of year 6. The responder rates at the same time points were 58% and 59%, respectively. To assess possible enrichment of the population due to participant withdrawals, an adjusted responder rate was calculated, which included all participants who had withdrawn due to lack of efficacy or to pursue other treatments. The adjusted responder rates for those same time points (years 3 and 6) were 58% and 56%, respectively (table e-1 on the Neurology® Web site at Neurology.org).
Seizure frequency decreased in the majority of participants treated with responsive stimulation. Based on the most recent 3 months of available data for each participant (a last observation carried forward analysis for those with 3 complete months of data), 84% of participants (207/247) had some improvement, 60% (146/247) had a 50% or greater reduction (compared to 8% [19/247] with a 50% or greater increase), and 16% of participants (40/247) were seizure-free.

Some participants had extended periods of seizure freedom. Over one-third (36.7%) of the 256 implanted participants had at least 1 seizure-free period of 3 months or longer, 23.0% had at least 1 seizure-free period of 6 months or longer, and 12.9% had at least 1 seizure-free period of 1 year or longer. No participants were seizure-free over the entire follow-up.

Antiseizure medications were frequently adjusted during the open-label follow-up. Sixty-three percent of the responders and 70% of the nonresponders had a new antiseizure medication added, and 9% of the responders and 8% of the nonresponders had a reduction in the number or dosage of antiseizure medications.

Quality of life. Quality of life improved at 1 year postimplant (n = 214, average = +3.26, SD = 8.54, p < 0.001) and improvements were maintained through year 5 (n = 147, average = +2.15, SD = 10.75, p < 0.01). To assess for possible enrichment of the population due to participant withdrawals, the change from baseline was calculated by using a last observation carried forward analysis for those participants who withdrew due to lack of efficacy or to pursue other treatments. The improvement from baseline remained statistically significant through year 4 (p < 0.001) and there was a trend toward significance at year 5 (p = 0.061). After 5 years, the sample sizes were not sufficient to reliably assess statistical significance. Improvements were also seen on the QOLIE-89 primary scales of attention, health discouragement, language, memory, overall quality of life, seizure worry, and work and social function (p < 0.05). There were no trends toward declines in any primary scale score (QOLIE data available in table e-2).

Safety. Over all studies, serious AEs (SAEs) were primarily anticipated events related to an implanted device or to seizures, and because of hospitalizations for video EEG monitoring. SAEs that occurred in 2.5% or more of the participants at any time after implant are provided in table 3.

SAEs of particular concern with any implanted medical device or in persons with epilepsy were considered in detail. SAEs related to any type of intracranial hemorrhage occurred in 4.7% of participants (12) and the majority of these were in the first days after the initial implant (2 participants with an epidural hematoma, 1 with a subdural hematoma, and 1 with a CT-diagnosed asymptomatic intraventricular hemorrhage), or were associated with seizure-related head trauma (3 participants with subdural hematomas, 1 with a subarachnoid hemorrhage, and 1 with a traumatic intracranial hemorrhage). There were no neurologic sequelae. There were 3 postoperative intracranial hemorrhages that were not related to
One participant in the feasibility study had only simple partial sensory seizures, which were not included in the analysis of disabling seizures.

Table 1  Participant demographics and characteristics (all implanted participants n = 256)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, % (n)</td>
<td>49 (125/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>34.0 ± 11.4 (18-66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of epilepsy, y, mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>19.6 ± 11.4 (2-57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current number of antiseizure medications, % (n)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.1 (0-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preimplant frequency of simple partial motor, complex partial, and secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures per month, mean ± SD (range), median</td>
<td>50.7 ± 177.4 (0-2,320); 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior intracranial monitoring, % (n)</td>
<td>65 (166/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior epilepsy surgery, % (n)</td>
<td>34 (86/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior vagus nerve stimulation, % (n)</td>
<td>32 (82/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two seizure foci (vs 1), % (n)</td>
<td>48 (124/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial temporal lobe onset, % (n)</td>
<td>43 (111/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>72 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>19 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>9 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neocortical onset, % (n)</td>
<td>49.2 (126/256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>38 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>45 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>14 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial as well as neocortical onset, % (n)</td>
<td>7.4 (19/256)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At enrollment in originating study.

One participant in the feasibility study had only simple partial sensory seizures, which were not included in the analysis of disabling seizures.

There were 11 deaths: 2 by suicide in patients with a history of depression (1 that occurred when responsive stimulation was off), 1 due to status epilepticus in a participant who had subtherapeutic levels of antiseizure medications, and 1 due to lymphoma. Seven of the 11 deaths were attributed to possible, probable, or definite SUDEP; 2 occurred while responsive stimulation was off. The rate of probable or definite SUDEP for participants implanted with the RNS System was 3.5 per 1,000 patient implant years (confidence interval [CI] 1.5–8.5) and 2.6 per 1,000 patient stimulation years (CI 1.0–7.0).

**DISCUSSION**  Responsive (closed-loop) neurostimulation is a new approach to treating epilepsy. Stimulation is delivered to the seizure focus in response to epileptiform activity. Similar to adjusting the dose of an antiseizure medication to maximize efficacy and tolerability, detection and stimulation parameters can be adjusted to improve clinical benefits and avoid stimulation-related adverse events.

In the most general sense, epilepsy is a disorder in the balance of excitation and inhibition. In partial epilepsy, the disturbance may be well-localized, but electrical activity spreads monosynaptically and polysynaptically to other regions to create the symptoms of the clinical seizure. The objective of responsive neurostimulation is to identify the critical region or propagation pathways and to then provide neutralizing, disruptive, or driving activity in order to restore normal function.

Treatment with the RNS System provided a significant and sustained reduction in seizures and improved quality of life in adults with many years of partial onset seizures that were resistant to multiple antiseizure medications and in many cases to VNS or epilepsy surgery. A double-blinded randomized controlled study of the RNS System as an adjunctive treatment for adults with medically intractable partial seizures arising from 1 or 2 seizure foci demonstrated a progressive reduction in seizures from implant through the second year after implant. These interim results of a prospective open-label long-term study indicate that...
the median percent seizure reduction is sustained at 60% or greater over additional years of follow-up. The majority of participants benefited from treatment with the RNS System, and 23% experienced at least one 6-month period of seizure freedom.

Increased efficacy over the first 1–2 years of stimulation therapy is reported with other devices for treatment of partial onset seizures such as VNS and DBS of the anterior nucleus of the thalamus. In addition, progressive improvement in the therapeutic response has been observed with stimulation of the anterior cingulate cortex for depression, subthalamic nucleus, anterior limb of the internal capsule, and nucleus accumbens for obsessive-compulsive disorder, and globus pallidus for primary dystonia.

The observations of an acute and delayed therapeutic effect of brain stimulation suggest multiple mechanisms of action. Acute effects of stimulation could be related to changes in cellular inhibition or excitation, to changes in cerebral blood flow, or to axonal and glial release of neurotransmitters. Changes in synaptic plasticity, neurogenesis, or cortical reorganization may be responsible for the effects over time. Responsive neurostimulation can adapt to these dynamic physiologic changes, which might offer an advantage over nonresponsive, scheduled, or continuous stimulation.

Responsive neurostimulation was well-tolerated and safe over time and adverse events related to the implanted device, including hemorrhage and infection, were anticipated and the rates were not higher than reported with implantation of intracranial electrodes to localize the seizure focus and with epilepsy surgery, or with DBS devices for treatment of movement disorders. The number of seizure-related adverse events was not higher than in randomized controlled trials of medications for adjunctive treatment of partial onset seizures. Deaths, including deaths by SUDEP, were not more frequent than is expected in patients with medically intractable partial onset seizures.

The Institute of Medicine concluded that at least 30% of adults with partial onset seizures do not achieve seizure control with antiepileptic medications and a similar percentage have significant medication-related side effects. Some of these patients will consider epilepsy surgery or a vagus nerve stimulator. However, not all patients are candidates for these treatments and these treatments do not always provide

### Table 2: Long-term seizure reduction: Long-Term Treatment Study (n = 230 enrolled, ongoing)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Median % reduction (1st quartile, 3rd quartile)</th>
<th>Responder rate (95% confidence interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Months 36-38</td>
<td>214 60.0 (24.2, 85.8)</td>
<td>57.9 (51.3-64.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 39-41</td>
<td>216 57.2 (21.4, 86.0)</td>
<td>56.0 (49.4-62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 42-44</td>
<td>212 62.1 (27.8, 89.7)</td>
<td>59.9 (53.2-66.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 45-47</td>
<td>208 65.9 (33.2, 88.5)</td>
<td>60.6 (53.8-67.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>Months 48-50</td>
<td>204 63.3 (29.8, 91.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 51-53</td>
<td>202 62.2 (25.1, 89.6)</td>
<td>62.4 (55.5-68.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 54-56</td>
<td>196 64.8 (21.5, 88.1)</td>
<td>60.7 (53.7-67.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 57-59</td>
<td>197 61.8 (23.8, 88.9)</td>
<td>61.4 (54.5-67.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>Months 60-62</td>
<td>172 65.5 (23.2, 91.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 63-65</td>
<td>161 60.7 (21.4, 91.6)</td>
<td>60.9 (53.2-68.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 66-68</td>
<td>142 62.4 (25.0, 92.2)</td>
<td>59.9 (51.6-67.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months 69-71</td>
<td>117 48.1 (14.8, 86.2)</td>
<td>49.6 (40.7-58.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 6</td>
<td>Months 72-74</td>
<td>115 65.7 (30.6, 87.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No. represents participants who have reached that time point in the ongoing study.
* The 95% confidence interval was calculated using the Wald method.

### Table 3: Serious adverse events affecting ≥2.5% of implanted participants (1,389 patient implant years with mean follow-up of 5.4 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Participants with serious adverse events, % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implant site infection</td>
<td>9.4 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex partial seizures increased</td>
<td>7.8 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEG monitoring</td>
<td>7.2 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic agent toxicity</td>
<td>7.0 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic-clonic seizures increased (more frequent)</td>
<td>5.9 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical device removal</td>
<td>5.5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic-clonic seizures exacerbated (more severe)</td>
<td>4.7 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>4.3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature battery depletion</td>
<td>4.3 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device lead damage</td>
<td>3.5 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression suicidal</td>
<td>3.1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Device lead revision</td>
<td>3.1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonconvulsive status epilepticus</td>
<td>3.1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>3.1 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convulsive status epilepticus</td>
<td>2.7 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin laceration (due to seizure)</td>
<td>2.7 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempt</td>
<td>2.7 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study ongoing data as of November 1, 2013.
* Considered a serious adverse event due to admission to an epilepsy monitoring unit.
* Led to hospital admission in all 18 participants; 16 due to antiseizure medication toxicity.
* Pursue other treatments (8), insufficient efficacy (4), participant elected (2).
* Occurred with battery from manufacturer that is no longer in use.
meaningful benefit. New treatments are needed that can provide better seizure control and are well-tolerated.

The clinical meaningfulness of the response to treatment with the RNS System is supported by significant improvements in overall quality of life and in individual domains that indicate a more positive perception of cognitive function, relationships and social function, overall health, and vulnerability to seizures. These are areas of function that are often profoundly impacted in persons with intractable seizures.35,36–38

Retention rate is an important metric of patient satisfaction and is a clinically meaningful composite of efficacy and safety.39 In the RNS System studies, the majority of participants chose to continue treatment, indicating that treatment was perceived to be of benefit. The 1-year discontinuation rate was 3.9%, whereas 1-year discontinuation rates in trials of approved antiseizure medications range from 23% to 77%.40,41 Ninety-seven percent of patients chose to continue treatment by enrolling into the LTT Study. Discontinuation of treatment simply required that the neurostimulator be programmed off since all components of the device may be left in place. These rates compare favorably to retention rates in trials of other approved epilepsy therapies, including VNS and antiseizure medications. The majority of discontinuations in antiseizure medication trials are due to medication-related side effects such as cognitive and behavioral side effects, and other AEs such as nausea, sedation, dizziness, and rash (55%–77%).42 These types of adverse events were not common with treatment with the RNS System.

There are limitations to any open-label study. Potential confounds include a regression from a higher than usual baseline seizure frequency to a more typical frequency during the treatment period. The participant and physician may have a positive bias towards therapeutic efficacy. However, these are unlikely to explain the seizure reduction over years in patients who have failed many treatment trials.

The RNS System is the first device that provides responsive neurostimulation and has shown acute and sustained efficacy, tolerability, and safety in adults with medically intractable partial onset seizures. Future research and clinical experience will provide additional understanding about patient selection, stimulation targets, and stimulation parameters. Fundamental research into the mechanisms of action of brain stimulation will facilitate its clinical application. The accumulated experience demonstrates that responsive neurostimulation provides another treatment option for patients with medically intractable partial onset seizures who are not good candidates for epilepsy surgery.
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